Case 3:23-cv-01652-VC Document 151 Filed 10/01/24 Page 1 of 2

1 2 3	William B. Rowell, Bar No. 178587 Thiele R. Dunaway, Bar No. 130953 Marc Brainich, Bar No. 191034 Michele C. Kirrane, Bar No. 215448 FENNEMORE WENDEL		
4	1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94607		
	Tel: (510) 834-6600 / Fax: (510) 834-1928 browell@fennemorelaw.com		
5	rdunaway@fennemorelaw.com		
6	mbrainich@fennemorelaw.com mkirrane@fennemorelaw.com		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants County of Alameda and Alameda County Deputy Sheriff Joshua Mayfield		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	T(SKITIDIK (BISTIC	or or eribir oral	
12	JOSEPH P. CUVIELLO and DENIZ	Case No. 3:23-cv	v 01652 VC
13	BOLBOL, individually,		
14	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE	
15	v.		S' TRIAL BRIEF RE:
16	ROWELL RANCH RODEO, INC.;		
17	HAYWARD AREA RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT; HAYWARD AREA	Action Filed: Trial Date:	April 6, 2023 October 21, 2024
18	RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT PUBLIC SAFETY MANAGER/RANGER		, -
19	KEVIN HART; ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; ALAMEDA COUNTY		
20	DEPUTY SHERIFF JOSHUA MAYFIELD; and DOES 1 and 2, in their individual and		
21	official capacities, jointly and severally,		
22	Defendants.		
23		I	
24			
25			
26			
20 27			
28			

FENNEMORE WENDEL
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
OAKLAND

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' TRIAL BRIEF RE: SPECIFIC INTENT

50272057.1/059499.0021

3:23-CV-01652-VC

Case 3:23-cv-01652-VC Document 151 Filed 10/01/24 Page 2 of 2

Defendants County of Alameda and Dep. Joshua Mayfield ("Defendants") hereby oppose plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Trial Brief on Specific Intent. As soon as the parties began to exchange drafts of proposed jury instructions and (subsequently) a proposed verdict form, and to meet and confer on the telephone about various pre-trial issues, it immediately became clear that the parties disagreed about a fundamental issue: whether or not specific intent was an element of a claim for violation of the Bane Act claim. Defendants believe it is an element of the claim that plaintiffs must prove; plaintiffs just as adamantly insist that it is not.

In light of the parties' conflicting positions on this crucial issue, Defendants believe that

In light of the parties' conflicting positions on this crucial issue, Defendants believe that the Court would benefit from briefing on it prior to the Pretrial Conference ("PTC") on October 8, 2024, and that the PTC itself would be far more productive if the Court were briefed on this issue beforehand by *both* parties. Accordingly, Defendants filed a short trial brief putting forth their position on September 25, 2024 – 13 days before the PTC, thus giving plaintiffs plenty of time to brief the issue for the Court themselves. Instead of putting their position before the Court so that it could be fully briefed by both sides, plaintiffs chose to move to strike Defendants' brief – essentially asking for the Court to rule on the matter without guidance from the parties.

Dated: October 1, 2024

FENNEMORE WENDEL

By:/s/ Marc Brainich

William B. Rowell
Thiele R. Dunaway
Marc Brainich
Michele C. Kirrane
Attorneys for Defendants
County of Alameda and A

County of Alameda and Alameda County Deputy Sheriff Joshua Mayfield

- 2 -

RE: SPECIFIC INTENT 50272057.1/059499.0021

3:23-CV-01652-VC